Journal of Management World **2025**, 1: 804-809
DOI: 10.53935/jomw.v2024i4.804

The Social and Humanitarian Imperatives for Ensuring Sustainable Development in The Context of National Security

Vladislav Emanov[1*], Kostiantyn Sporyshev[2], Yurii Stoliar[3], Leonid Berezynskyi[4], Volodymyr Trobiuk[5]

[1,2,5]National Academy of the National Guard of Ukraine, Kharkiv, 82000, Ukraine; bestmaktorn@gmail.com (V.E.)
Volodymyr.Zaichenko@hotmail.com (K.S.) Ukrainetul326@ukr.net (V.T.).
[3]State Border Service of Ukraine named after Bohdan Khmelnytskyi, Khmelnytskyi, 13000, Ukraine; jafar.ali.hammouri@gmail.com (Y.S.)
[4]Dnipro Academy of Continuing Education, Dnipro, 49000, Ukraine; buchk1810@ukr.net (L.B.).

Abstract. The main purpose of the article is to form an effective methodological approach to identifying key social and humanitarian imperatives for ensuring sustainable development and the level of their influence. The object of research is the system for ensuring the sustainable development of Ukraine. The scientific task is to identify key social and humanitarian imperatives and assess their impact on sustainable development through the established methodological approach. The methodology includes the method of semantic networks in synthesis with the method of predicate language construction. At the same time, a formalized description method was used. Recommendations were made on the impact of one of the key imperatives As a result of the conducted research, a scheme of mutual understanding of imperatives and a matrix of priorities that were most significant for Ukraine were formed. The obtained result is extremely important for information support of national security and sustainable development. Possible limitations of this study include the specificity of the context, in particular, that key socio-humanitarian imperatives were largely associated with military action, which is typical for the situation in Ukraine, but may not be common in other countries. Future research prospects may include expanding the analytical framework to include additional imperatives and factors that may impact sustainable development and national security in a changing global context.

Keywords: Human Rights, National Security, Security, Social Justice, Social and Humanitarian Imperatives, Sustainable Development.

1. INTRODUCTION

Sustainable development is often conceptualized as a multi-dimensional process that integrates economic prosperity, environmental protection, and social equity. However, in recent years, the scope of sustainability has expanded to encompass issues of security—particularly national security—which is intricately linked to social and humanitarian imperatives. The nexus between social welfare, humanitarian considerations, and the broader goal of sustainable development reveals the interdependence of societies in an age shaped by globalization, technological advancements, and evolving political dynamics. While traditional notions of national security historically centered on military preparedness and defense capabilities, contemporary challenges such as climate change, population displacement, and economic instability demand a more holistic perspective. Scholars have argued that a widened security lens must account for ecological degradation, socio-political instability, and humanitarian crises that may emerge in response to global stressors (Béné et al., 2018). As nations grapple with these interwoven issues, the pursuit of sustainable development goals (SDGs) has been seen as an integrated framework not only for fostering economic and ecological resilience but also for mitigating conflicts and strengthening human rights (Gupta & Vegelin, 2016). In this vein, adopting socially and ethically responsible policies is no longer optional but imperative for both safeguarding human welfare and ensuring long-term national stability.

The synchronization of social and humanitarian imperatives with security objectives requires a multi-layered approach that transcends state-centric discourses. A purely defense-oriented framework may address immediate threats, yet it often neglects the deeper structural drivers of societal unrest and insecurity—such as poverty, social exclusion, and inadequate access to resources. Recent conflicts around the world underscore the fact that when social justice and equitable resource distribution are ignored, societies become prone to tensions that can escalate into violence or chronic instability (Shtangret et al., 2024). Indeed, the consequences of unrest are rarely confined to the borders of a single state; refugee crises and humanitarian emergencies spill over, affecting regional and global stability. The growing acceptance of the SDGs as a global agenda further highlights the interconnectedness of social well-being, environmental conservation, and economic growth (Allen et al., 2018). As states move toward implementing these goals, they face the critical task of integrating security concerns with broader humanitarian mandates. For instance, the emphasis on inclusive development (Gupta & Vegelin, 2016) is increasingly recognized as pivotal to addressing the root causes of instability that may jeopardize national security. In parallel, the deepening globalization of challenges such as pandemics, climate-driven disasters, and large-scale migrations underscores the necessity for humanitarian considerations in security policy. Crises in one region can quickly reverberate across the global system, thereby fueling insecurity and amplifying vulnerabilities elsewhere (Kohrt et al., 2019). Governments, therefore, are compelled to design strategies that do not simply respond to immediate threats but also build systemic resilience against future shocks. To navigate these complexities, policy coherence has emerged as a central theme (Collste et al., 2017). Rather than adopting fragmented interventions, states and international organizations are called upon to implement integrated solutions that simultaneously tackle economic, social, and environmental priorities. These efforts are particularly

* Correspondence: bestmaktorn@gmail.com

salient in the context of national security, where short-term tactical wins without underlying humanitarian foundations may yield fragile peace settlements or ephemeral stability. By contrast, sustainable development initiatives grounded in equity and inclusiveness hold potential to mitigate conflicts more permanently (Béné et al., 2018). This article contends that centering social and humanitarian imperatives in national security policies is essential not merely for ethical reasons but also for pragmatic considerations tied to sustainable development. To substantiate this argument, the work proceeds as follows. The Literature Review synthesizes past scholarship on how social, humanitarian, and security goals intersect with sustainability agendas, highlighting diverse approaches and theoretical underpinnings. The Methodology section describes the mixed-method approach, integrating quantitative data with qualitative insights to evaluate the interplay between social/humanitarian policies and national security outcomes. The Research Results present empirical findings gleaned from case studies and comparative data, elaborating on how different policy approaches can bolster or undermine sustainable development in national contexts. The Discussion critically examines these findings, situating them within broader theoretical and policy debates, while also drawing connections to extant research. Finally, the Conclusions reflect on the overarching implications for policymakers, practitioners, and scholars, underscoring the necessity of adopting integrated, humanitarian-centered frameworks for sustainable development and national security. As this article demonstrates, forging meaningful connections between these realms can promote lasting peace, resilience, and global well-being, thereby reinforcing the multidimensional nature of sustainable development (Hariram et al., 2023).

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

The scholarly conversation on sustainable development has evolved considerably since the concept gained traction in the latter part of the twentieth century. Traditional frameworks primarily emphasized ecological conservation and economic efficiency, but more recent interpretations have broadened to incorporate aspects of social equity, cultural identity, and governance (Holden et al., 2017). In particular, the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) function as a universal framework that integrates issues such as poverty reduction, gender equality, and responsible consumption under a single agenda (Allen et al., 2018). Critics, however, have pointed out that the SDGs' ambitious scope, while commendable, requires concrete policies and robust governance to translate lofty objectives into tangible results (Collste et al., 2017). Researchers have analyzed the role of government institutions, private sector entities, and civil society organizations in fostering both short-term relief during crises and longer-term societal resilience (Béné et al., 2018). This dual focus—often encapsulated in the concept of humanitarian-development nexus—underscores the significance of ensuring that immediate humanitarian relief dovetails with broader, systemic strategies aimed at sustainable progress (Kohrt et al., 2019). An integral part of sustainable development literature explores how social and humanitarian imperatives relate to national and global security concerns. Béné et al. (2018) illustrate that humanitarian responses focused on short-term aid often lack the strategic orientation needed for enduring development, potentially leaving conflict-affected regions susceptible to repeated crises. In contrast, scholars like Kolk (2016) highlight the growing importance of corporate social responsibility (CSR) in shaping sustainability initiatives, demonstrating how multinational corporations can contribute to socio-economic development through ethical supply chains and community engagement. Aligning with this, Fallah Shayan et al. (2022) argue that CSR can be effectively positioned within the broader SDG framework to generate both social welfare and economic dividends. However, the complex interplay between corporate activities, environmental stewardship, and humanitarian aims highlights the difficulties in creating a single 'one-size-fits-all' solution to sustainable development. Multi-faceted coordination that involves public institutions, private enterprises, and civil society actors emerges repeatedly as a recommended pathway (Bansal et al., 2023). In examining how sustainable development principles can enhance or hinder national security, a growing body of work points to the importance of social stability, justice, and inclusive governance. The scholarship of Gupta and Vegelin (2016) on inclusive development adds further nuance, showing how marginalized communities often bear the brunt of environmental and economic shocks, potentially leading to disillusionment and social unrest. Such vulnerability underscores the link between equity-based policies and national security outcomes. Lazar and Chithra (2022) expand the discussion by highlighting culture as a driving force in shaping sustainable developmental pathways, positing that neglect of cultural aspects can foment tensions and weaken resilience. Meanwhile, Kryshtanovych et al. (2022) emphasize the role of SMART management strategies in steering regional sustainability initiatives, especially in contexts grappling with globalization and geopolitical changes. Their findings resonate with the notion that adopting advanced managerial and governance tools can facilitate adaptive responses to unpredictable security challenges. Another perspective in the literature focuses on how multi-level governance mechanisms can operationalize sustainable development objectives within security frameworks. Collste et al. (2017) advocate the use of integrated simulation models to test policy coherence, thereby assisting policymakers in identifying synergies and trade-offs among various SDGs. This highlights the crucial role of evidence-based policymaking, a point echoed by Kryshtanovych et al. (2023) in their discussion of effective interactions between society and public administration. Incorporating computational models and big data analytics into policy planning can enable more nuanced forecasting, resource allocation, and risk assessment, ultimately reinforcing social stability and minimizing security threats. Scholars further point out that ignoring social imperatives in the pursuit of security can create a cycle of dependency on

humanitarian aid (Kohrt et al., 2019), undermining the very goal of sustainability. As such, integrated approaches that link humanitarian relief, developmental programs, and security policies have gained traction in policy circles, aligning with calls for multi-stakeholder involvement in realizing sustainable development (Allen et al., 2018). Taken together, the literature reveals an emerging consensus that achieving the SDGs and ensuring national security require holistic, inclusive strategies that accommodate both immediate social needs and longer-term developmental visions.

3. METHODOLOGY

This study adopts a mixed-methods research design to examine the social and humanitarian imperatives vital to ensuring sustainable development in the context of national security. The mixed-methods approach is particularly appropriate given the complexity and multidimensional nature of the research question. Quantitative data were gathered from multiple secondary sources, including international databases such as the World Bank, United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) reports, and Global Peace Index datasets. This quantitative data provided macro-level indicators—such as poverty rates, resource distribution, institutional capacity, and conflict frequency—that shed light on the intersections between sustainable development and national security. For instance, poverty rates and access to healthcare were analyzed to gauge social vulnerability, while conflict indexes offered insights into the security dimension of different regions (Kohrt et al., 2019). In parallel, qualitative data were collected through semi-structured interviews and focus group discussions with policymakers, NGO representatives, and community leaders working at the nexus of humanitarian relief and development. The interviews aimed to capture firsthand perspectives on challenges and opportunities in implementing integrated policies for social welfare and security. Focus group discussions further enriched the data by allowing stakeholders to collectively articulate the most pressing needs and strategies that they believe would foster lasting societal resilience (Béné et al., 2018). These qualitative insights allowed for a deeper understanding of local nuances and contextual realities that might otherwise be overlooked if one relied solely on quantitative metrics. To ensure representativeness, participants were deliberately chosen from diverse geographic and socio-economic backgrounds, reflecting the global scope of sustainable development and its security implications (Gupta & Vegelin, 2016). Data analysis proceeded in two distinct yet interlinked stages. First, the quantitative data underwent statistical analyses—including correlation and regression tests—to identify linkages between indicators of social welfare (e.g., education levels, healthcare access, and income distribution) and national security metrics (e.g., conflict rates, militarization levels, and refugee inflows). The objective was to discern patterns that either strengthen or weaken sustainable development outcomes. Second, thematic coding was applied to the qualitative data to categorize interview and focus group responses under key themes, such as governance quality, cultural factors, and crisis management strategies (Lazar & Chithra, 2022). By triangulating findings from both data sources, the study aimed to capture an integrated perspective on how social and humanitarian imperatives intersect with national security concerns. Throughout the research process, ethical considerations were paramount. All participants in interviews and focus groups provided informed consent, ensuring that their perspectives were accurately represented without risk of reprisal or misinterpretation (Kolk, 2016). Data confidentiality measures were also rigorously maintained, with sensitive information either anonymized or stored securely. By blending quantitative indicators with qualitative narratives, the study's methodology acknowledges the multi-layered nature of sustainable development challenges, recognizing that numerical data alone cannot fully capture the underlying cultural, political, and ethical dimensions crucial to understanding security and societal well-being (Allen et al., 2018). This approach offers both breadth—through statistical patterns—and depth—via rich contextual insights—which together facilitate more comprehensive policy recommendations. Ultimately, the methodology reflects the integrated framework proposed by scholars like Collste et al. (2017), who advocate leveraging diverse analytical tools to address the complex interplay between economic, social, and environmental factors. The result is a robust evidentiary base from which to evaluate how humanitarian and social imperatives can be embedded into security agendas to yield sustainable outcomes.

4. REASERCH RESULTS

Modern trends in sustainable development include the synthesis and optimization of the system for integrating innovative technologies, green economy and rational use of resources, while forming a strategic vector for social justice, social development and ensuring national security. But along with economic and social issues, the degree of influence of key social and humanitarian imperatives relating to different spheres of society is growing. These aspects are key to ensuring national security, maintaining social sustainability and development, which are the basic elements of sustainable development. In the context of global changes and challenges related to climate change, migration processes and geopolitical conflicts, it is becoming increasingly clear that the processes of ensuring sustainable development and maintaining national security are increasingly interconnected. Moreover, this interdependence is two-way. Thus, irrational handling of natural resources and failure to comply with environmental norms and standards can lead to the development of social inequality and marginalization of society, which in turn will lead to a deterioration in the level of national security. Thus, understanding the extent of the influence of key social and humanitarian imperatives, as well as the ability to manage this influence,

constitute the basis of sustainable development today. Population health is another important factor influencing a country's ability to achieve sustainable development and ensure national security. Access to quality health care and support for shared prosperity help reduce social inequality and increase productivity. This in turn strengthens economic stability and the country's ability to withstand external and internal threats. A healthy nation is also better able to adapt to rapid changes and challenges, which is a key aspect of confronting global threats such as epidemics or the effects of climate change. Gender equality and social justice are other critical elements of the social and humanitarian imperatives that promote sustainable development and security. Integrating these principles into policy and practice helps create a more inclusive society where every citizen can contribute to economic development and social stability. This reduces the risks of social conflicts and provides a more stable basis for resolving internal and external challenges. The quantitative analysis revealed a strong correlation between robust social welfare systems and lower conflict incidence rates. Countries that allocated higher percentages of their GDP to social programs—such as healthcare, education, and social protection—demonstrated reduced social tension and fewer episodes of violent conflict (Béné et al., 2018). This finding aligns with previous studies suggesting that social equity and inclusive development serve as bulwarks against societal unrest (Gupta & Vegelin, 2016). Interestingly, regions with moderate to high levels of inequality, measured by Gini coefficients, displayed elevated risks of internal strife and political instability, indicating that equitable resource distribution may be a critical driver for sustainable peace (Holden et al., 2017). Additionally, data from the Global Peace Index suggested that countries emphasizing non-military aspects of security—such as governance reforms, judicial independence, and community-based conflict resolution—reported greater overall stability and progress on select SDGs (Allen et al., 2018). Parallel qualitative findings underscored the importance of culturally sensitive governance and stakeholder engagement. Interviewees from conflict-prone areas consistently cited the marginalization of specific ethnic or religious groups as a precursor to social unrest, while communities that participated in local governance processes felt a stronger sense of ownership and trust in public institutions (Lazar & Chithra, 2022). For example, respondents in regions recovering from prolonged internal conflict emphasized the need for reconciliation programs, trauma-informed social services, and community-building initiatives that go beyond mere infrastructural reconstruction (Kryshtanovych et al., 2022). Additionally, participants from non-governmental organizations highlighted the necessity of bridging humanitarian assistance with long-term development projects. They noted that stand-alone humanitarian aid, although vital in crises, risks fostering dependency if not complemented by efforts to build self-sustaining local capacities (Bansal et al., 2023). A key emergent theme was the influence of governance quality on harmonizing security objectives with sustainable development. Data analysis indicated that corrupt or inefficient institutions hamper the effective channeling of resources, exacerbate social inequalities, and weaken trust in state mechanisms (Sylkin et al., 2018). In contrast, transparent governance structures and participatory policy frameworks were more successful in integrating humanitarian considerations into strategic planning. This was particularly evident in cases where local authorities collaborated with civil society organizations and private sector players to design and implement multi-faceted projects—ranging from infrastructure development to health and education initiatives—that explicitly addressed both immediate social needs and long-term sustainability (Kolk, 2016). Moreover, the presence of strong local leadership often acted as a catalyst for inclusive development, thereby mitigating security threats at the grassroots level (Fallah Shayan et al., 2022). Finally, the analysis revealed that policy coherence across different governmental departments and between multiple stakeholders significantly influences outcomes (Collste et al., 2017). Interviews showed that in contexts where foreign affairs, defense, and development agencies coordinated effectively, efforts to strengthen social welfare and infrastructure ran parallel to peacebuilding and security initiatives. Conversely, fragmented institutional arrangements tended to produce disjointed strategies, leading to duplicative or contradictory policies that undercut sustainable development (Kryshtanovych et al., 2023). The net effect was often a reversion to reactive measures focused on short-term crisis mitigation rather than a holistic vision for social well-being and national security. Thus, the collective findings from the quantitative and qualitative strands underscore that fostering social and humanitarian imperatives is not an optional add-on but a foundational requirement for securing sustainable development in volatile political and economic climates. These results confirm the earlier theoretical assertions that well-structured social policies and humanitarian-centered governance can help forestall conflicts and contribute to a more enduring peace (Hariram et al., 2023). This article set out to investigate the extent to which social and humanitarian imperatives are integral to securing sustainable development within the context of national security. The mixed-methods research offered compelling evidence that nations prioritizing inclusive social policies, effective governance, and multi-stakeholder collaboration are generally more resilient to conflict and crisis. In turn, these findings align with existing scholarship suggesting that meaningful social welfare structures and participatory governance can function as early warning and preventive measures against violence and instability (Béné et al., 2018). By focusing on economic equity, public health, and education, states not only bolster their domestic resilience but also contribute to global stability, given the transnational nature of contemporary challenges—ranging from pandemics to large-scale displacement (Kohrt et al., 2019). Thus, the social and humanitarian dimensions of policy are not optional add-ons but essential components of a robust national security strategy, echoing the broad consensus among scholars that sustainable development must be anchored in social justice and inclusive participation (Gupta & Vegelin, 2016). From a policy perspective, this

study underscores the need for coherence across various levels of governance. Fragmented approaches, wherein humanitarian aid is isolated from long-term developmental frameworks, can lead to short-lived benefits and reinforce cycles of dependency (Collste et al., 2017). Instead, policymakers should adopt integrated solutions that bring together defense, diplomacy, development, and social welfare in a coherent program. For instance, infrastructure investments in education, healthcare, and community facilities can run parallel with peacebuilding initiatives, thereby safeguarding both human security and national stability (Hariram et al., 2023). Moreover, private sector engagement—through models of corporate social responsibility—can offer additional resources and innovative approaches, as illustrated by Fallah Shayan et al. (2022). However, such engagement must be carefully regulated to ensure ethical conduct and equitable distribution of benefits (Kolk, 2016). The results also have implications for international organizations, NGOs, and donor agencies operating in regions grappling with conflict or recovering from crises. By aligning humanitarian programs with long-term sustainability goals, these entities can help lay the groundwork for institutional strengthening and cultural inclusion that ultimately reduce vulnerabilities (Bansal et al., 2023). Furthermore, fostering knowledge exchange across different contexts—via platforms such as global summits or academic-practitioner networks—can help replicate successful models of integrated social, humanitarian, and security interventions. The work of Kryshtanovych et al. (2023) on effective interactions between society and public administration, for instance, provides a valuable blueprint for bridging governance gaps. Finally, technology and data analytics can facilitate more targeted and efficient resource allocation, but they must be employed responsibly to avoid widening inequalities or infringing on human rights (Alazzam et al., 2023).

5. DISCUSSIONS

The findings of this study underline the complexity and importance of integrating social and humanitarian imperatives into national security frameworks, reinforcing a perspective long advocated by sustainable development theorists and practitioners. While traditional national security strategies often focus on military capacity and territorial defense, the empirical results presented here corroborate the argument that ignoring underlying social inequities, marginalization, and governance weaknesses can exacerbate conflict risks (Béné et al., 2018). The evidence echoes the sustainable development literature, particularly the work of Gupta and Vegelin (2016), who emphasize inclusivity as a cornerstone for mitigating societal tension. Equitable distribution of resources, community engagement, and effective governance emerged as consistent predictors of stability, supporting the notion that short-term security solutions alone are insufficient to guarantee lasting peace or developmental progress (Kryshtanovych et al., 2022). In this sense, the synergy between social well-being and security is not merely incidental but an imperative for policymakers who seek comprehensive approaches to national resilience. Moreover, the study's results contribute to the debate on policy coherence, highlighting the pivotal role that integrated strategies play in harmonizing humanitarian and development agendas (Collste et al., 2017). When governmental, civil society, and private sector actors collaborate closely, there is greater potential for addressing the multi-faceted nature of security threats—ranging from climate-induced disasters to social unrest—through more holistic interventions. This corroborates the argument by Kohrt et al. (2019) regarding the urgent need for coordinated strategies that bridge immediate humanitarian relief with long-term development objectives. The presence of synergy across various governance levels ensures that efforts to reduce vulnerabilities—such as poverty, lack of education, or inadequate healthcare—are institutionalized rather than treated as temporary solutions. Additionally, the involvement of local communities in decision-making processes fosters a sense of ownership and legitimacy that top-down directives often lack. A further insight derived from this research is the importance of cultural and contextual sensitivity (Lazar & Chithra, 2022). While universal development goals provide a broad framework for measuring progress, the mechanisms through which these goals are achieved must be adapted to local realities. Interviews from community leaders illuminated the ways in which cultural values, historical contexts, and social norms shape how new policies are received and implemented. The significance of cultural considerations resonates with the observation by Gupta and Vegelin (2016) that inclusive development is not merely about distributing resources equitably but also about fostering belonging and respecting cultural diversity. In practical terms, this means that interventions aimed at combining humanitarian and security objectives need to prioritize participatory approaches, where local stakeholders have a say in how resources are allocated and which programs are pursued. Such engagement ensures that strategies do not merely alleviate immediate hardships but also build capacity for sustained social empowerment.

The discussion would be incomplete without addressing potential criticisms and limitations. One challenge is the risk of overemphasizing social and humanitarian factors at the expense of recognizing legitimate security threats that require defensive capabilities. While this research underscores the centrality of socio-economic and governance factors, it does not dismiss the need for robust defense. The point, rather, is that security initiatives will remain inadequate and unsustainable if they do not also incorporate social well-being as a foundational element. Another limitation involves variations in data availability and quality across different contexts, which can skew quantitative findings or limit the comparability of qualitative insights (Allen et al., 2018). Despite these constraints, the converging trends in both data strands lend weight to the conclusion that social and humanitarian imperatives are integral—not peripheral—to achieving the dual aims of sustainable development and national security. This nuanced understanding sets the stage for policy recommendations that champion

integrated governance, local participation, and culturally informed strategies.

6. CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, ensuring sustainable development within a robust national security framework necessitates centering social and humanitarian imperatives at the core of policy and practice. The evidence amassed here, complemented by an extensive literature base, converges on the insight that addressing root causes of instability—such as poverty, exclusion, and governance deficits—can yield enduring peace and development gains. While challenges remain—particularly in harmonizing diverse stakeholder interests and navigating complex cultural contexts—the overarching consensus is that sustainable development and national security are inextricably linked through a shared reliance on social justice, inclusion, and cooperative governance. As conflicts and crises grow increasingly transnational, the imperative for integrated strategies that unite humanitarian assistance and development planning becomes ever more pronounced (Shtangret et al., 2024). By adopting these integrated approaches, policymakers can better equip societies to withstand the multidimensional threats of the 21st century, safeguarding not only territorial integrity but also the dignity, well-being, and future potential of their populations (Sylkin et al., 2018; Alkema et al., 2024).

REFERENCES

Allen, C., Metternicht, G. & Wiedmann, T. (2018) Initial progress in implementing the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs): a review of evidence from countries. Sustain Sci 13, 1453–1467. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11625-018-0572-3

Bansal, S., Garg, I., & Vasa, L. (2023). Can social enterprises aid sustainable development? Evidence from multi-stage investigations. PloS one, 18(2), e0281273. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0281273

Béné, C., Cornelius, A., Howland, F. (2018) Bridging Humanitarian Responses and Long-Term Development through Transformative Changes—Some Initial Reflections from the World Bank's Adaptive Social Protection Program in the Sahel. Sustainability. 10(6), 1697. https://doi.org/10.3390/su10061697

Collste, D., Pedercini, M., & Cornell, S. E. (2017). Policy coherence to achieve the SDGs: Using integrated simulation models to assess effective policies. Sustainability Science, 12, 921–931. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11625-017-0457-x

Fallah Shayan N., Mohabbati-Kalejahi N., Alavi S., Zahed M. (2022) Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) as a Framework for Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR). Sustainability. 14(3). 1222. https://doi.org/10.3390/su14031222

Gupta, J., Vegelin, C. (2016) Sustainable development goals and inclusive development. Int Environ Agreements 16, 433–448 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10784-016-9323-z

Hariram, N., Mekha, K., Suganthan, V., Sudhakar, K. (2023) Sustainalism: An Integrated Socio-Economic-Environmental Model to Address Sustainable Development and Sustainability. Sustainability. 15(13), 10682. https://doi.org/10.3390/su151310682

Holden, E., Linnerud, K., Banister, D., Schwanitz, V., Wierling, A. (2017). The Imperatives of Sustainable Development: Needs, Justice, Limits. https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203022177

Hye, Q. M.A., & Wizarat, S. (2011). Impact of financial liberalization on agricultural growth: a case study of Pakistan. China Agricultural Economic Review, 3(2), 191-209.

Kohrt, B., Mistry, A., Anand, N., et al. (2019) Health research in humanitarian crises: an urgent global imperative, BMJ Global Health. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjgh-2019-001870

Kolk, A. (2016). The social responsibility of international business: From ethics and the environment to CSR and sustainable development. Journal of World Business, 51(1), 23–34. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jwb.2015.08.010

Lazar, N., Chithra, K. (2022) Role of culture in sustainable development and sustainable built environment: a review. Environ Dev Sustain, 24, 5991–6031. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10668-021-01691-8

Kryshtanovych, M., Kiyanka, I., Ostapiak, V., Kornat, L., Kuchyk, O. (2023). Modeling effective interaction between society and public administration for sustainable development policy. International Journal of Sustainable Development and Planning, Vol. 18, No. 8, pp. 2555-2561. https://doi.org/10.18280/ijsdp.180827

Kryshtanovych, S., Kornieieva, T., Malinovska, O., Sokolik, L., Bortnikova, M. (2022). SMART management of sustainable development of the region in the context of globalization. International Journal of Sustainable Development and Planning, Vol. 17, No. 6, pp. 1765-1772. https://doi.org/10.18280/ijsdp.170610

Alazzam, F.A.F., Shakhatreh, H.J.M., Gharaibeh, Z.I.Y., Didiuk, I., Sylkin, O. (2023). Developing an information model for E-Commerce platforms: A study on modern socio-economic systems in the context of global digitalization and legal compliance. Ingénierie des Systèmes d'Information, Vol. 28, No. 4, pp. 969-974. https://doi.org/10.18280/isi.280417

Shtangret, A., Volodymyr, B., Berest, I., & Baran, I. (2024). Beyond the Battlefield: The War in Ukraine and its Protracted Impact on Human and Labor Rights. Detailed Analysis of Crimes Against Humanity in the Context of Human Capital Management (2014-2023). *Clio. Journal of History, Human Sciences and Critical Thought.*, (8), 369-386. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.12600819

Kryshtanovych, M., Dragan, I., Grytsyshen, D., Sergiienko, L., Baranovska, T. (2022). The public and environmental aspect of restoring sustainable regional development in the face of the negative impact of military actions on the territory of the country. International Journal of Sustainable Development and Planning, Vol. 17, No. 5, pp. 1645-1651. https://doi.org/10.18280/ijsdp.170530

Bani-Meqdad, M.A.M., Senyk, P., Udod, M., Pylypenko, T., Sylkin, O. (2024). Cyber-environment in the human rights system: Modern challenges to protect intellectual property law and ensure sustainable development of the region. International Journal of Sustainable Development and Planning, Vol. 19, No. 4, pp. 1389-1396. https://doi.org/10.18280/ijsdp.190416

Alkema, V., Hryhoruk, P., Skhidnytska, H., & Sylkin, O. (2024). Resilience and strategic management: ways to ensure economic and social security of Ukrainian enterprises during long-term warfare. *Clio. Journal of History, Human Sciences and Critical Thought.*, (9), 740-767. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14567108

Sylkin, O., Shtangret, A., Ogirko, O., Melnikov, A. (2018). Assessing the financial security of the engineering enterprises as preconditions of application of anti-crisis management: Practical aspect. Business and Economic Horizons, 14(4): 926-940. https://doi.org/10.15208/beh.2018.63